

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY



In Obscura

Vincent M. Nasti, Founder, Chief Executive Officer, Consultant

In Obscura, LLC | Threat Intelligence | Security Countermeasures | Investigations

<https://inobscura.org/>

TLP-C INSURGENT ATTACK THE MISSION CHURCH CARLSBAD DURING NOV 2025 REPORT PUBLISHED 23 FEB 2026

CAVEAT: THIS INTELLIGENCE PRODUCT RELIES ON OPEN-SOURCE MEDIA OR GOVERNMENT RELEASED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION. RECOMMENDED ACTIONS ARE INCLUDED FOR CLIENTS FOR INFORMATION AND ACTIONS DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

CHURCH THREAT LEVEL – URGENT

DATE OF ACQUISITION: 18 FEB 2026

PUBLISHED: 24 FEB 2026

COLLECTION PERIOD: 16 FEB 2026

INFORMATION CUTOFF: 23 FEB 2026

DISSEMINATION CONTROL: TRAFFIC LIGHT PROTOCOL-CLEAR (TLP-C)

PREPARED BY: V.M. NASTI

POINT OF CONTACT: V.M. NASTI

JOHN 21:15-25

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During 2025, the known insurgent group, Code Pink, attacked and interrupted at least three separate worship services at The Mission Church, Carlsbad, California. While there is no internet record of police involvement, the church through a Christian NGO has filed a Federal Civil Right lawsuit under the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act (FACE) Act.ⁱ Case is still in litigation per USDOJ PACER search.

NOTE TO READER: This report quotes source reporting which identifies insurgents as “agitator(s),” beginning in early 2026. In Obscura analysis, these and the activities of terror and insurgent groups are direct action violence attacks.

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBSCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

SUMMARY

This attack was discovered upon collection of one article covering the current lawsuit against an insurgent group for FACE Act violations during a series of attacks on this church during 2025. Media coverage of these attacks if any were not well disseminated. The attacks were during services at the Mission Church in Carlsbad, California during November 2025, by an Insurgent group, that of Code Pink. This attack is almost identical to the Cities Church attack, with one exception. This is a joint Christian-Judaic congregation. There are no open-source indicators of police, local, state, county, federal were involvedⁱⁱ.

In March 2025, the church hosted former Israeli Congress member Dr. Einat Wilf, with many Jewish guests and church members in attendance. Posing as guests, disruptors infiltrated the sanctuary, yelling slurs until they were escorted out. More protestors lined up inches outside the door, calling church members “Nazis” and yelling, “Mission Church, you can’t hide! We charge you with genocide!”

On Easter Sunday, the same disruptors shouted vulgarities through a bullhorn and held bloody signs with pictures of dismembered babies, directly across from where children were playing and enjoying Easter activities in the parking lot.

In September, the same agitators blocked entrances and blared loud sirens for three hours at an interfaith worship event hosted by the Christian & Jewish Alliance. They hit worshippers’ cars, called them “baby-killers,” pushed a Jewish guest into a busy street, and refused to leave even after the police arrived. At least three of the named defendants are local leaders for Code Pink San Diego, a group known for its antisemitism.

A witness: “When I pulled up to a worship event on September 7, a masked person holding a vulgar sign jumped onto the hood of our car, screaming and banging on the windshield. It was frightening,” said Ruth Mastron, a devout Jewish worshiper who joined the lawsuit. “We just want to be able to gather safely, pray and worship together without fearing for our lives.”ⁱⁱⁱ

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBSCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

"The purpose of the event was religious. Members of the Church gathered to pray corporately for their Jewish neighbors and the peace of Israel, worship, learn about the ongoing conflict, and encourage the local Jewish community as a part of their Christian duty," the lawsuit explained.

Nearly half an hour into the event, dozens of protesters occupied the sidewalk outside the church's front door. The demonstrators wielded bullhorns and attempted to drown out the sound of the speaker in the auditorium, and they also held up signs with graphic images of dismembered children, the lawsuit noted. Shouting through the bullhorns, the protesters engaged in chants and loudly accused attendees of being "Nazis." The attendees could hear the commotion outside, according to the suit, which interfered with the worship service inside.

Another group of agitators entered the event by registering under fake names, and they posed as guests as part of their strategy to disrupt the worship service. The inside protesters started shouting and filming congregants at various intervals before the church's safety team escorted them out.

One month later, the disruptors returned on Easter Sunday, deterring visitors from attending worship services by shouting into bullhorns, targeting children's activities in the parking lot, and blocking access to the Church's overflow parking lot.

"This four-hour disruption had its intended effect: to further intimidate the members and guests (including small children) who attended the Church's services," the suit said. Protesters interfered with an interfaith worship event on Sept. 7, which was sponsored by the church and hosted by the alliance. Demonstrators blocked access to the venue's entrances.

They later gathered at the back of the amphitheater, "blaring imitation police sirens and hurling epithets for three hours during the interfaith prayer and worship service." When Ruth Mastron, a Jewish woman who joined the lawsuit, pulled up to the worship event, a masked individual jumped on the hood of her car and started screaming and banging on the windshield. The experience has caused Mastron "severe stress and

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBSCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

lingering anxiety," and she experiences "heightened situational awareness and anxiety when in public in her own community" due to the defendants' actions, the suit stated.

CONTEXT

Code Pink is an insurgent group aligned via the concept of Mutual Aid which is also merged into the Communist-Islamist axis known as the Red Green Alliance. It is clear Code Pink is a terrorist, insurgent force. That this church experienced repeated attacks, despite having a security force and probable police response, illuminated (1) It appears the California-DHS Fusion Center covering this region did not have intelligence that these attacks were planned, it is hard to understand how the subsequent attacks were not only successful, but placed the victims in such a level danger; (2) There is no record of any law enforcement involvement, arrests, media releases, press conferences.

KEY JUDGMENTS

The totality and success of attacks reveals something is dangerously lacking in San Diego California and elsewhere. It is the multiple personal experiences of In Obscura over a six-year period that the conscious yet silent neglect the church, government agencies and faith-based non-governmental security agencies are inadequate and a contributing factor. The lack of urgency, tenacity and passion is and will continue to get people killed.

In Obscura has personal experience detecting and reporting probable target surveillance of my church. Two occasions, circa 2022 and 2023. Reported to local police, is where concern and urgency went to die. Silence. The motivation through prayer AND God's still small voice is why In Obscura was incorporated months ago. Many reports are posted on the website concerning this critical indicator. There is NO sense of urgency concerning insurgents actively collecting intelligence on churches, congregations, and clergy and attacks have come. Appropriately:

A series of terror attacks on this same church over a time continuum during 2025. Each attack was successful despite HIGH confidence intelligence indications and warning were present and not detected.

What intelligence role the regional police fusion center and the church security force collected and analyzed is undisclosed.

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBSCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

Code Pink is a known terrorist group, ascribed to the Red Green Alliance and quite active in cellular organization and similar attacks and anarchist operations. It is very difficult to understand why this church suffered such successful attacks without neutralization before the target was accessed each time.

- * Co-founded by Jodie Evans, pro-Castro radical Medea Benjamin, and two others
 - * Embraces Marxist and neo-Marxist ideals
 - * Strongly opposed the Iraq War
 - * Helped deliver more than \$600,000 in cash and medical supplies to the families of the insurgents who were fighting American troops in Fallujah, Iraq in 2004
 - * Supports Venezuela's Communist dictator, Hugo Chavez.
 - * Supports the Free Gaza Movement, a pro-Hamas initiative
 - * Characterizes Israel as an oppressor nation
 - * Supported the Egyptian Revolution of 2011
 - * Is supported by former Weather Underground terrorists Bill Ayers and Bernardine Dohrn
- (IN OBSCURA NOTE: HIGH confidence numerous times, the overthrow of US government operations, during the antiwar Viet Nam era, controlled by foreign intelligence services (Russia, now Iran and China added) never stopped.**

Code Pink was [founded](#) principally by a small group of radical activists: [Jodie Evans](#), [Medea Benjamin](#), [Diane Wilson](#), [Gael Murphy](#), and a Wiccan spiritualist calling herself Starhawk. ([Approximately](#) 100 additional female activists also participated in getting the organization off the ground.) Evans was, and remains, the nominal leader of the group. According to [John J. Tierney](#), Professor of International Relations at the Institute for World Politics, Code Pink members “[subscribe](#) in varying degrees to strands of [Marxist](#), neo-Marxist, and progressive left-wing thought, and their ideas belong to a long and complex history of radical politics going back to the early Bolsheviks.” The group views America as a nation [awash](#) in “racism” and “sexism”—a society whose political and economic systems, by their very nature, breed war, poverty, and injustice.^{iv}

Code Pink was and remains actively engaged in the pro-abortion movement and the numerous attacks on Christian assets, churches, clergy, pregnancy centers: arsons, bombings. Yet Christians continue to be besieged as the church security dwarfed into big business, no sense of urgency is evident.^{v vi}

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBSCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

COURSES OF ACTION

Most Likely

Emphasis on attacks and persecution of Christian have and will continue, as we have experienced since The Mission Church attacks. Discovery of their lawsuit media release itself solidifies In Obscura's position on the years' vastly neglected protection of Christians.

Recent In Obscura reporting has emphasized the rapid escalation of U.S. based persecution indicators within the last six months. The insurgent operation tempo at present has used ICE enforcement operations as cover and deception, justifying unprecedented attacks and psychological operations identical to the "antiwar" Viet Nam U.S. and European overthrow activities.

Most Dangerous

Complex attacks, historic IED and arson deployment. Alleged legally protected First Amendment activity of insurgent groups have used sad cover to trespass on church property AND not only gain access to the target interior is indeed target intelligence collection, complete with tradecraft; video and audio recordings, still photography of clergy, building interiors, congregations. Vehicle ramming TTP is very active, as well as firearms by insurgents. The exceptional danger here is with MEDIUM to HIGH confidence expansion to this operational level of direct action attacks will mirror that of Roe v Wade and include the use of firearms.

Least Likely

Unprecedented forward proactive engagement by federal, state and local intelligence and law enforcement and the variety of NGO faith-based security organizations to summon the urgency LEFT OF BOOM to ensure Christians are trained and competent to protect their flocks proactively, accurately and legally.

ANALYTIC CONCLUSION

In Obscura has quantified cogent intelligence analysis and warning about the aggressive and vastly undetected intelligence collection against Churches, Christians, and Clergy. With these attacks at The Mission Church, the criticality of the ability to identify, detect, exploit, and neutralize these targeting missions. The security force in what seems like a

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBSCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

reactive posture how many times? That the attacks were complex and gained access to the sanctuary is noted.

These groups have intelligence collection cells and their ability to conduct covert operations is never emphasized. These activities occur for one reason, to attack the target. Most churches and faith-based security types are oblivious. State, federal and local law enforcement agencies MUST urgently resolve this. The goal is to DENY the threat access to the target, aka LEFT OF BOOM. In Obscura has not seen any evidence of sense of urgency. That these attacks on this one location as a precursor to the Cities Church is an insult to God.

John 21:15-25 - New International Version - Jesus Reinstates Peter

¹⁵ When they had finished eating, Jesus said to Simon Peter, “Simon son of John, do you love me more than these?”

“Yes, Lord,” he said, “you know that I love you.”

Jesus said, “Feed my lambs.”

¹⁶ Again Jesus said, “Simon son of John, do you love me?”

He answered, “Yes, Lord, you know that I love you.”

Jesus said, “Take care of my sheep.”

¹⁷ The third time he said to him, “Simon son of John, do you love me?”

Peter was hurt because Jesus asked him the third time, “Do you love me?” He said, “Lord, you know all things; you know that I love you.”

Jesus said, “Feed my sheep.” ¹⁸ Very truly I tell you, when you were younger you dressed yourself and went where you wanted; but when you are old you will stretch out your hands, and someone else will dress you and lead you where you do not want to go.” ¹⁹ Jesus said this to indicate the kind of death by which Peter would glorify God. Then he said to him, “Follow me!”

²⁰ Peter turned and saw that the disciple whom Jesus loved was following them. (This was the one who had leaned back against Jesus at the supper and had said, “Lord, who is going to betray you?”) ²¹ When Peter saw him, he asked, “Lord, what about him?”

²² Jesus answered, “If I want him to remain alive until I return, what is that to you? You must follow me.” ²³ Because of this, the rumor spread among the believers that this

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBSCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

disciple would not die. But Jesus did not say that he would not die; he only said, “If I want him to remain alive until I return, what is that to you?”

²⁴ This is the disciple who testifies to these things and who wrote them down. We know that his testimony is true.

²⁵ Jesus did many other things as well. If every one of them were written down, I suppose that even the whole world would not have room for the books that would be written.

=====DISSEMINATION=====

IN OBSCURA HAS ADOPTED THE OSINT CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM OF DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY CLASSIFICATIONS ‘TRAFFIC LIGHT PROTOCOL’ RELEASE CAVEATS. THESE REGULATE IN OBSCURA REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC RELEASE TO PROTECT CLIENTS AND IN OBSCURA SOURCES, METHODS, AND TECHNIQUES. IN OBSCURA RELEASE PROHIBITION MARKINGS ALSO APPLY. NONE ARE RELEASABLE TO NEWS MEDIA OR JOURNALISTS WITHOUT WRITTEN REQUEST AND PERMISSION.

=====

REQUEST WRITTEN PERMISSION, EMAIL, PRIOR TO DISSEMINATING ANY IN OBSCURA PRODUCTS OR INFORMATION. TRAFFIC LIGHT PROTOCOL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISSEMINATION FOLLOW...

[Traffic Light Protocol (TLP) Definitions

Color	When should it be used?	How may it be shared?
 <p>TLP:RED</p> <p>For the eyes and ears of individual recipients only, no further disclosure.</p>	<p>Sources may use TLP:RED when information cannot be effectively acted upon without significant risk for the privacy, reputation, or operations of the organizations involved.</p>	<p>Recipients may therefore not share TLP:RED information with anyone else. In the context of a meeting, for example, TLP:RED information is limited to those present at the meeting.</p>
 <p>TLP:AMBER</p> <p>Limited disclosure, recipients can only spread this on a need-to-know basis within their organization and its clients. Note that TLP:AMBER+STRICT restricts sharing to the organization only.</p>	<p>Sources may use TLP:AMBER when information requires support to be effectively acted upon, yet carries risk to privacy, reputation, or operations if shared outside of the organizations involved.</p>	<p>Recipients may share TLP:AMBER information with members of their own organization and its clients, but only on a need-to-know basis to protect their organization and its clients and prevent further harm. Note: if the source wants to restrict sharing to the organization only, they must specify TLP:AMBER+STRICT.</p>
 <p>TLP:GREEN</p> <p>Limited disclosure, recipients can spread this within their community.</p>	<p>Sources may use TLP:GREEN when information is useful to increase awareness within their wider community.</p>	<p>Recipients may share TLP:GREEN information with peers and partner organizations within their community, but not via publicly accessible channels. TLP:GREEN information may not be shared outside of the community. Note: when “community” is not defined, assume the cybersecurity/defense community.</p>
 <p>TLP: CLEAR</p> <p>Recipients can spread this to the world, there is no limit on disclosure.</p>	<p>Sources may use TLP:CLEAR when information carries minimal or no foreseeable risk of misuse, in accordance with applicable rules and procedures for public release.</p>	<p>Subject to standard copyright rules, TLP:CLEAR information may be shared without restriction.</p>

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY

SOURCES

ⁱ Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act (FACE), enacted in 1994, prohibits the use of physical force, threats, or obstruction to intentionally injure, intimidate, or interfere with anyone obtaining or providing reproductive health services, or exercising their First Amendment rights at places of worship (18 U.S.C. § 248)

ⁱⁱ 3:25-cv-02992-AGS-AHG Christian and Jewish Alliance Inc. et al v. Brunner et al

Christian and Jewish Alliance Inc., Ezra Ministries a California not-for profit religious corporation doing business as The Mission Church and Ruth Mastron

Defendant: Esmat Baradar, Daniel Brunner, Aimee Magda Werth, Kristina Turner-Brown, Patrick Hartley, Sasha Spite Miller, Jacob Pagaduan, Essie, Jonathan Provance, Maya Karalius and Does 1 - 40 Case Number: 3:2025cv02992 Filed: November 4, 2025

Court: U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California

COMPLAINT With Jury Demand Against Daniel Brunner, Aimee Magda Werth, Kristina Turner-Brown, Patrick Hartley, Sasha Spite Miller, Jacob Pagaduan, Esmat Baradar, Jonathan Provance, Maya Karalius, Does 1 - 40, (Filing fee \$405.00 receipt number CCASDC-20450492.), filed by Christian and Jewish Alliance Inc., Ezra Ministries. (Attachments: #1 Civil Cover Sheet, #2 Info Sheet Additional Counsel) The new case number is 3:25-cv-2992-W-AHG. Judge Thomas J. Whelan and Magistrate Judge Allison H. Goddard are assigned to the case. (Hacker, David)(dde)(jrd)

ⁱⁱⁱ First Liberty Sues Violent Agitators Who Disrupted Christian & Jewish Worship Services, 7 Nov 2025, <https://firstliberty.org/news/first-liberty-sues-violent-agitators-who-disrupted-christian-jewish-worship-services/>

^{iv} Code Pink-Women for Peace by Discover The Networks, Analysis

<https://www.discoverthenetworks.org/organizations/code-pink-women-for-peace/>

^v CTPBLOG #CUTTHEPENTAGON, Weapon of War: Gutting Roe- Cut the Pentagon Day #66, Code Pink Website, 1 December 2021; "...Decades later, Roe is under attack more than ever before. What happened outside of SCOTUS today and a month ago was frightening but not shocking like I told the BBChere. While we were outnumbered by anti-choice protestors, there was power in the community and those sharing their stories and testimonies. A group of our friends from CODEPINK Western Mass traveled down for the day making the parallels between militarism and stripping people of their rights in the name of domination and control. https://www.codepink.org/ctp_day_66

^{vi} Why protesters Code Pink stay out of jail, BBC, 19 September 2014 Daniel Nasaw, BBC News Magazine, Washington, The men and women of peace activist group Code Pink are well known in Washington DC for loudly disrupting congressional committee hearings and heckling politicians mid-speech. And that is just fine with the authorities. <https://www.bbc.com/news/magazine-29280937>

///END OF REPORT///

RESTRICTED - PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. The information contained herein is for use by IN OBSCURA Clients and designated local, state, and federal, law enforcement and security agencies. Outside dissemination is prohibited. This document is the proprietary and intellectual property of IN OBSCURA, LLC. RESTRICTED FROM DISCLOSURE//NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OR NEWS MEDIA

TLP CLEAR – IN OBSCURA CAVEATS APPLY